

SPECIALIZED COUNCILS



Resident Evil

Should infected populations be completely destroyed, or should evacuation and cure development be prioritized?

Following the outbreak of a highly contagious and lethal biological agent in population centers like Raccoon City, international authorities are faced with an unprecedented crisis. On one side, it is believed that extreme containment measures, including the complete destruction of infected cities, are crucial to prevent a global pandemic and the extinction of humanity. Contrarily, human rights advocates argue that this denies innocent citizens their right to life and ignores the potential for medical intervention. Hence, delegates will argue whether infected population centers should be completely destroyed to prevent global spread, or if evacuation and cure development should be prioritized.

Should Umbrella's research be seized and used for bio-defense, or should all bio-weapons research be permanently destroyed?

With the collapse of the Umbrella Corporation, the world is left to manage the remnants of its dangerous viral research. Acknowledging the work required to develop national biodefense programs, some military factions believe that seizing these bio-weapons is necessary to prepare for future biological warfare. However, critics argue that maintaining such dangerous research poses a constant threat of accidental or intentional deployment. Thus, delegates will debate whether Umbrella's research should be seized and used for bio-defense, or if all bio-weapons research must be permanently destroyed to diffuse threats to global security.

Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile (FIA)

Should the FIA mandate emergency mid-season balance-of-performance (BoP) adjustments and active-aerodynamics revisions to prevent electrical energy starvation on high-speed circuits, or should the 2026 engine and chassis regulations remain completely frozen to preserve engineering integrity and team investments?

Following the implementation of the 2026 technical regulations, the significant increase in electrical power reliance has raised severe competitive concerns regarding energy starvation on high-speed circuits. On one side, proponents argue that emergency mid-season Balance-of-Performance (BoP) adjustments and active-aerodynamics revisions are necessary to protect the spectator experience and prevent uncompetitive racing. Contrarily, critics contend that altering rules mid-season undermines the spirit of pure engineering competition and unfairly penalizes teams that invested heavily in successful designs. Hence, delegates will argue whether the FIA should mandate emergency mid-season BoP adjustments and active-aerodynamics revisions, or if the 2026 engine and chassis regulations should remain completely frozen to preserve engineering integrity and team investments.

Should the FIA implement stricter, standardized penalty guidelines for driver stewarding and automated track-limit enforcement, or should race directors maintain personal discretion to adapt rulings based on dynamic race conditions and wheel-to-wheel battles?

This issue looks at the ongoing controversies concerning driver stewarding, track-limit enforcement, and referee authority in modern motorsport. The application of rules during high-stakes wheel-to-wheel battles can cause intense debates on fairness, sportsmanship, and the integrity of the championship. On one side, it is believed that stricter, standardized penalty guidelines and automated track-limit enforcement are crucial to guarantee total consistency and remove human bias. On the other side, critics argue that racing is dynamic, and absolute automation denies the context of split-second driver decisions and ruins natural racing fluidity. Thus, delegates will discuss whether the FIA should implement stricter, standardized penalty guidelines and automated track-limit enforcement, or if race directors should maintain personal discretion to adapt rulings based on dynamic race conditions.



Interstellar Space Command

Should humanity abandon Earth entirely and commit to colonization, or continue investing resources into saving Earth?

After widespread agricultural blight and environmental collapse, the fragility of Earth's ecosystem threatens the possibility of losing humanity entirely. It has become clear that global leaders need to find a balance between preserving our home planet and ensuring the survival of the human race. While some scientists push to abandon Earth entirely to fund deep space arks, others argue that this act would condemn billions of people left behind. Thus, delegates will discuss whether humanity should abandon Earth entirely and commit to colonization, or continue investing resources into saving Earth.

Should the mission prioritize the safest habitable planet, or the planet with the greatest long-term survival potential despite higher risks?

As humanity looks toward the stars for a new home, the selection of a habitable planet becomes a critical ethical and scientific dilemma. Space agencies must weigh the immediate safety of their payload against the long term evolution of the species. Settling on a safer planet ensures the immediate survival of the colonists, while a harsher planet with greater long term potential might secure humanity's existence for millennia. Hence, members of the council will argue whether the mission should prioritize the safest habitable planet, or the planet with the greatest long-term survival potential despite higher risks.

FIFA

Balancing Commercial Modernization and Private Equity in Global Football with the Protection of Fan Heritage, Cultural Identity, and Democratic Governance

As global football undergoes massive financial expansion, the increasing influence of private equity firms and corporate modernization initiatives threatens to alter the foundational culture of the sport. While corporate leaders argue that private investment is crucial to modernize infrastructure, enhance global reach, and secure financial stability, traditionalists contend that this commercialization denies fan voice, distorts democratic club governance, and monetizes historical identity. Hence, delegates will argue whether FIFA should encourage commercial modernization and private equity investment in global football, or enforce strict regulations to protect fan heritage, cultural identity, and traditional governance structures.

Integrating Artificial Intelligence, VAR, and High-Tech Officiating to Guarantee Match Integrity while Preserving Football's Cultural Heritage, Fluidity, and the Human Element

The integration of advanced technologies, including Video Assistant Referees (VAR) and artificial intelligence, has sparked intense debates regarding the integrity and spirit of the game. Proponents argue that high-tech officiating is essential to eliminate human error, fix outcome controversies, and guarantee absolute fairness during high-stakes competitions. Contrarily, critics contend that constant technological interventions ruin the natural fluidity of the match, diminish referee authority, and undermine the traditional human element that defines football's global appeal. Thus, members of the council will debate whether FIFA should continue integrating AI and advanced officiating tools to guarantee match integrity, or restrict their use to preserve the sport's fluidity, culture, and human element.

Star Wars: The Galactic Senate

Should Chancellor Palpatine receive expanded emergency powers to defeat separatist threats, or should the Senate limit executive power to protect democracy?

The conflict between the Galactic Republic and the Separatist Alliance has been ongoing, demanding swift and decisive leadership. To bypass the slow bureaucracy of the Senate, Chancellor Palpatine has requested expanded emergency powers to manage the war effort effectively. However, political critics view this as an indirect attempt to erase democracy and establish an authoritarian regime. Delegates in this council will discern the political climate of the galaxy, and argue whether Chancellor Palpatine should receive expanded emergency powers to defeat separatist threats, or if the Senate should limit executive power to protect democracy.

Should the Republic expand the clone army and military spending, or prioritize diplomacy and negotiation with separatist systems?

As hostilities resurge across various star systems, the economic and ethical burden of maintaining a clone army has polarized the Senate. Financing the production of military forces diverts essential funds away from planetary development and civilian aid. While some senators believe that military escalation is the only way to restore order and preserve the Republic, others argue that peaceful negotiations are necessary to stop the bloodshed. Hence, delegates will debate whether the Republic should expand the clone army and military spending, or prioritize diplomacy and negotiation with separatist systems.



The Hunger Games

Should the Hunger Games continue as the Capitol's main method of control, or should the system be reformed to prevent growing rebellion?

Since the end of the initial rebellion, the Capitol has utilized the annual Hunger Games as a psychological tool to maintain order and suppress uprisings. However, recent acts of defiance have galvanized the conflict between the Capitol and the outer districts, proving that fear is no longer an insuperable barrier to revolution. The council must address the ethical issues concerning the purposeful destruction of human life for entertainment and control. Thus, delegates will argue whether the Hunger Games should continue as the Capitol's main method of control, or if the system should be reformed to prevent growing rebellion.

Should the districts gain greater economic freedom and local power, or remain dependent on Capitol control for stability?

The economic stability of Panem relies entirely on the labor and resources extracted from the districts. The current system forces the districts to live in poverty, heavily dependent on the Capitol for basic survival. Acknowledging the work of the district citizens, reformers argue that giving the districts greater economic freedom would stabilize the nation and reduce hostility. Contrarily, traditionalists justify the current system by arguing that financial independence will inevitably lead to another civil war. Hence, delegates will discuss whether the districts should gain greater economic freedom and local power, or remain dependent on Capitol control for stability.



The Marvel Multiverse Crisis (MCU)

Should unstable timelines and universes be destroyed to protect the main timeline, or should every universe be preserved regardless of the risks?

With the timeline branching into countless alternate realities, the stability of the multiverse is at severe risk of collapse. Multiversal incursions occur when two realities collide, causing the purposeful destruction of entire universes. Some temporal authorities believe that pruning unstable timelines is a tragic but necessary act to protect the primary universe from annihilation. However, others view this as the exploitation and murder of trillions of innocent lives. Hence, delegates will argue whether unstable timelines and universes should be destroyed to protect the main timeline, or if every universe should be preserved regardless of the risks.

Should dangerous variants of heroes and villains be eliminated before they become threats, or monitored and given a chance to change?

The discovery of alternate dimensions has introduced the threat of dangerous variants individuals who possess the power and intent to conquer reality. Intelligence organizations must decide how to handle these individuals before they cause multiversal destruction. Eliminating variants preemptively guarantees security, but it denies individuality, distorts justice, and raises ethical issues by punishing people for crimes they have not yet committed. Thus, delegates will debate whether dangerous variants of heroes and villains should be eliminated before they become threats, or monitored and given a chance to change.



Dune Spice Control

Should control of spice production remain under one ruling house, or be shared among multiple factions to prevent monopoly and rebellion?

The economic circulatory system of the Known Universe relies entirely on the production of a single resource: the spice melange. Historically, the control of the planet Arrakis has been awarded to a single ruling Great House, creating a dangerous monopoly that threatens the political balance of the empire. To prevent any one family from exploiting this resource to establish tyrannical rule, some propose that a joint administrative council should manage the planet. Hence, delegates will argue whether control of spice production should remain under one ruling house, or be shared among multiple factions to prevent monopoly and rebellion.

Should Arrakis be heavily industrialized for maximum spice output, or should the desert ecosystem and Fremen way of life be protected?

As the demand for spice grows globally, the ruling powers of Arrakis must decide how to manage the planet's hostile environment. Expanding industrial operations would yield higher profits and satisfy the empire's insatiable need for the resource. However, this aggressive expansion threatens to destroy the fragile desert ecosystem and erase the culture of the native Fremen population. Thus, members of the council will reflect on the environmental impacts of their operations, and debate whether Arrakis should be heavily industrialized for maximum spice output, or if the desert ecosystem and Fremen way of life should be protected.

United States Federal District Court (USFDC)

Should Sam Altman (and OpenAI's senior leadership) be held legally and criminally liable for willful copyright infringement and deceptive data-scraping practices after authorizing the unauthorized ingestion of millions of copyrighted books, scripts, and musical works to train commercial generative AI models, or should they be acquitted on the grounds that large-scale model training constitutes lawful "Fair Use" necessary for technological advancement?

The rapid development of generative artificial intelligence models has relied heavily on ingesting vast quantities of copyrighted material, including books, scripts, and musical works, without explicit permission or compensation to creators. On one side, critics and copyright holders argue that OpenAI's senior leadership engaged in willful copyright infringement and deceptive data-scraping practices for commercial gain, demanding strict legal and criminal accountability to protect intellectual property rights. On the other side, proponents and technology leaders contend that training AI models on publicly accessible data constitutes transformative "Fair Use," which is legally protected and essential for driving technological progress and global innovation. Hence, delegates will debate whether Sam Altman and OpenAI's senior leadership should be held legally and criminally liable for unauthorized data scraping and copyright infringement, or if they should be acquitted on the grounds that model training is lawful Fair Use necessary for technological advancement.